

Texas State Soil and Water Conservation Board State Nonpoint Source Grant Program FY 2020 Workplan 20-52

	SUM	MARY PAGE				
Title of Project	Texas Bacterial Source Tr	racking Program (FY20-FY21)				
Project Goals	Support BST analyse	Support BST analyses throughout Texas				
		and characterize the BST Library				
	Integrate BST results with QMRA analysis					
	Evaluate library-independent markers Built BOTT BOTT The Port of the P					
Due is at Tables	Provide outreach regarded (1) Project Administration		% OMD 4 . (4) DCT			
Project Tasks		n; (2) Quality Assurance; (3) BST Analyses ST Library Refinement and Library Indeper				
	Development; (6) Educati	•	ident Marker			
Measures of Success		lysis of Leon River watershed				
Wicasures of Buccess	_	n fecal samples to BST Library				
		eation of BST Library				
		-specific, library-independent markers				
		bsite and delivery of BST information mate	erials			
Project Type		ation (); Planning (); Assessment (X); Gro				
Status of Waterbody on	Segment ID	Parameter of Impairment or Concern	Category			
2014 Texas Integrated	1221	Bacteria, depressed dissolved oxygen,	5c, CS;			
Report		chlorophyll-a, nitrate, total phosphorus;				
	Bacteria, depressed dissolved oxygen, chlorophyll-a;					
	1221B	Habitat;	CS;			
	1221C	Chlorophyll-a;	CS;			
	1221D	Bacteria, depressed dissolved oxygen, chlorophyll-a, nitrate;	5b; CS			
	1221F	Bacteria, chlorophyll-a	5c; CS			
Project Location (Statewide or Watershed and County)	Statewide, but with BST support in Bell, Coryell, Comanche and Erath counties					
Key Project Activities	Hire Staff (X); Surface W	ater Quality Monitoring (); Technical Assi	stance ();			
		tation (); BMP Effectiveness Monitoring (•			
		ng (); Modeling (); Bacterial Source Track	ring (X); Other ()			
2017 Texas NPS	• Component 1 – LTG					
Management Program	• Component 1 – STG	1C				
Reference	• Components 2, 3, 5					
Project Costs	\$ 433,085	D 1 m W 2				
Project Management	Š	e Research, Texas Water Resources Institut	te			
Project Period April 1, 2020 – March 31, 2022						

Part I – Applicant Information

Applicant									
Project Lead	Project Lead Lucas Gregory, Ph.D.								
Title		Senior Research	Scientist a	nd Quality	y As	surance Offi	cer		
Organization	ı	Texas A&M Ag	riLife Rese	earch, Texa	as W	ater Resour	ces Institu	te	
E-mail Addr	ess	LFGregory@ag	.tamu.edu						
Street Addre	Street Address 578 John Kimbrough Blvd., 2260 TAMU								
City	College Station County Brazos State TX Zip Code 77843					77843			
Telephone Number 979-845-7869 Fax Number 979-845-8554									

Co-Applicant			
Project Lead	Terry Gentry, Ph.D.		
Title	Professor		
Organization	Texas A&M AgriLife Research, Depart	tment of Soil and Cro	p Sciences
E-mail Address	tjgentry@tamu.edu		
Street Address	370 Olsen Blvd		
	2474 TAMU		
City College	Station County Brazos	State TX	Zip Code 77843-2474
Telephone Number	979-845-3041	Fax Number	979-845-0456

Co-Applicant					
Project Lead	Kristina D. Mena, MSPH, Ph.D.				
Title	Associate Professor & Regional Dean				
Organization	The University of Texas Health Science Center at Houston School of Public Health, El Paso				
	Regional Campus				
E-mail Address	Kristina.D.Mena@uth.tmc.edu				
Street Address	5130 Gateway East Blvd. MCA 310				
City El Paso	County El Paso State TX Zip Code 79905				
Telephone Number	915-539-6417 Fax Number				

Project Partners	
Names	Roles & Responsibilities
Texas State Soil and Water Conservation	Provide state oversight and management of all project activities and
Board (TSSWCB)	ensure coordination of activities with related projects and TCEQ.
Texas A&M AgriLife Research, Texas	Project Coordination and Administration, Quality Assurance, Reporting,
Water Resources Institute (TWRI)	and Outreach (Tasks 1, 2, and 6).
Texas A&M AgriLife Research,	Work in conjunction with UTSPH EP to perform all work described in
Department of Soil and Crop Sciences	Tasks 2-6.
(AgriLife SCSC)	
The University of Texas Health Science	Work in conjunction with AgriLife SCSC to perform all work described
Center at Houston School of Public	in Tasks 2-6.
Health, El Paso Regional Campus	
(UTSPH EP)	
Texas A&M Natural Resources Institute	BST sample collection support (Task 4)

Part II – Project Information

Project Type										
Surface Water	X	Grou	ındwater							
Does the project in	npleme	nt reco	mmendation	nade in: (a) a completed W	VPP; (b) an adopt	ed				
TMDL; (c) an app	roved I-	Plan;	(d) a Compre	nsive Conservation and M	lanagement Plan		Yes	v	No	
developed under C	CWA §3	20; (e)) the <i>Texas C</i>	stal NPS Pollution Contro	ol Program; or (f)	the	168	Λ	NO	
Texas Groundwate	er Prote	ction S	Strategy?							
If was identify the	dogum	ont	Watershed	tection Plan for the Leon	River Below Pro	ctor L	ake and	l Abo	ve Belt	on
If yes, identify the	docum	ent.	Lake							
If yes, identify the agency/group that Leon River Watershed Stakeholders; Year										
developed and/or approved the document. Pa			rsons Water & Infrastr	ructure; Brazos	Deve	eloped	20	15		
				ver Authority						

Watershed Information				
Watershed or Aquifer Name(s)	Hydrologic Unit Code (12 Digit)	Segment ID	Category on 2014 IR	Size (Acres)
Leon River Watershed	1210702010502- 1210702010509; 121070201601- 121070201605; 121070201701- 121070201705; 121070201801- 121070201806; 121070201901- 121070201908; 120702011002	1221; 1221A; 1221B; 1221C: 1221D; 1221F	5c, CS; 5b, 5b, NS, CS; CS; 5b, CS; 5c, CS	871,488

Water Quality Impairment

Describe all known causes (i.e., pollutants of concern) and sources (e.g., agricultural, silvicultural) of water quality impairments or concerns from any of the following sources: Draft 2016 Texas Integrated Report, Clean Rivers Program Basin Summary/Highlights Reports, or other documented sources.

Draft 2016 Texas Integrated Report

Segment 1221: Leon River Below Proctor Lake

	<u>Impairment</u>	<u>Category</u>	Year Listed
1221_06	bacteria	5c	1996

Segment 1221A: Resley Creek

<u>Impairment</u>	Category	Year Listed		
1221A_01		bacteria	5b	2004
1221A_02		bacteria	5b	2004
1221A 01	der	pressed dissolved oxygen	5b	2006

Segment 1221D: Indian Creek

	<u>Impairment</u>	<u>Category</u>	<u>Year Listed</u>
1221D_01	bacteria	5b	2006
1221D 02	bacteria	5b	2006

Concerns (Draft 2016 Texas Water Quality Inventory)	Level of Support
1221: Chlorophyll-a. Depressed Dissolved Oxygen	CS (concern for screening levels), CS (concern for screening levels),
1221A: Chlorophyll-a	CS (concern for screening levels),
1221B: Impaired Habitat	CS (concern for screening levels),
1221C: Chlorophyll-a	CS (concern for screening levels),
1221D: Chlorophyll-a, Depressed Dissolved Oxygen, Nitrate	CS (concern for screening levels), CS (concern for screening levels), CS (concern for screening levels),
1221F: Chlorophyll-a	CS (concern for screening levels),

Project Narrative

Problem/Need Statement

Bacteria are the number one cause of water quality impairment in Texas. Bacterial Source Tracking (BST) is a valuable tool for identifying human and animal sources of fecal pollution to support development of watershed plans, TMDLs, and other strategies for addressing these impairments. Comprehensive BST has been completed by UTSPH EP and AgriLife SCSC in numerous watersheds throughout Texas with support provided by the TSSWCB. As a result of these joint efforts over the last decade, the Texas E. coli BST Library (ver. 12-17) currently contains 1,853 E. coli isolates obtained from 1,612 different domestic sewage, wildlife, livestock and pet fecal samples. Despite its expansiveness, continued development and refinement of the library to include additional known source isolates from additional Texas watersheds and different animal hosts are needed to further increase its utility. Looking to the future, library independent BST holds much promise. It is already being used to support BST analyses in Texas. However, to improve its ability to address the needs in Texas, further work is needed to develop and evaluate new markers. To further strengthen the statistical integrity of current BST work, different statistical methods need to be evaluated to calculate confidence intervals and provide a range of certainty/uncertainty with current library-dependent BST work. Evaluating the temporal integrity of the BST library in a watershed with previous BST analysis is necessary as well. Quantitative microbial risk assessment (QMRA) is a valuable tool that can integrate BST results and improve risk estimations for specific water bodies. Efforts to delineate OMRA outputs to inform policy and best practices can increase the utility of BST work. Finally, continued outreach and technology transfer is needed to expand awareness and understanding of BST, foster dialogue and collaboration, and bring water resource managers up to speed on advances in BST technologies, methodologies, applications and results.

Project Narrative

General Project Description (Include Project Location Map)

Growing interest in BST among state agencies, river authorities and stakeholder groups across Texas fosters the need to maintain and advance statewide BST analytical infrastructure appropriately. This includes needed maintenance and repairs of analytical equipment and continued support, training and retention of skilled personnel. With recent personnel changes at UTSPH EP and TWRI, there is also a near-term need for increased interaction among laboratories to facilitate the transition. To meet the needs of the State, BST analytical capabilities will be maintained at both UTSPH EP and AgriLife SCSC BST laboratories. Financial support will be used to maintain lab personnel at UTSPH EP and AgriLife SCSC, continue refinement and evaluation of the Texas *E. coli* BST library, continue work on marker development and evaluation, and support targeted BST analysis.

Texas BST SOPs will be reviewed and updated accordingly to ensure that they are current and up to date with applicable methods, technologies and markers. UTSPH EP and AgriLife SCSC will collaborate to evaluate and refine the Texas *E. coli* BST library. Fingerprint diversity of source-specific *E. coli* isolates will be investigated to help evaluate the strain representativeness of the library. This will allow the project team to identify specific needs for the expansion and refinement of the library. To support library expansion and evaluate temporal characteristics of BST in the Leon River watershed, approximately 50 known source fecal samples from targeted animal sources will be collected by NRI/TWRI and analyzed for *E. coli*. These sources will be added to the Texas *E. coli* BST Library and water samples from the watershed will be screened to evaluate current source contributors.

As funding allows, AgriLife SCSC and UTSPH EP will continue work to evaluate and further develop/refine source-specific bacterial PCR markers. Specifically, efforts will be made to evaluate the addition of library-independent markers to the Texas BST toolbox. Further, TWRI, AgriLife SCSC and UTSPH EP will cooperate with other entities nationwide to ensure that the most up-to-date and accurate BST approaches are implemented in Texas. Library-independent markers continue to be developed and validated. To-date our use of these markers for watershed characterization has primarily been on a presence/absence basis. Recent work in our labs has indicated that multiple markers have potential for quantitative detection of bacteria from different sources. Quantitative detection of markers will allow relative ranking of sources and also provide information needed for potentially linking BST results with QMRA efforts. We plan to continue evaluation of library-independent markers and continue examining the potential for use of digital PCR (dPCR) which has the potential to enhance detection by reducing issues with PCR inhibition that are

commonly encountered with environmental samples and also increasing the accuracy of detection by eliminating the need for relative calibration using standard curves.

Despite the numerous BST projects across Texas over the past several years, there has not yet been a follow-up study in a watershed to evaluate how sources of contamination have changed following implementation of a Watershed Protection Plan. The proposed study would re-visit sites in the Leon River watershed to compare current sources of impairments/bacteria contribution with those identified during a previous study in 2011-2012 (TSSWCB Project 10-51). The proposed project would also provide an opportunity to investigate geographical stability of the Texas *E. coli* BST Library through the collection of additional known-source samples from the watershed for comparison with those collected in the previous study.

Delivering educational and informational programming regarding BST continues to be a critical need. TWRI will host and maintain the BST website (http://texasbst.tamu.edu/). The website will house educational materials, project updates, science updates and other outreach efforts to advance the science and application of BST. The project team will promote the use of and provide resources on BST. As needed, TWRI, UTSPH EP and AgriLife SCSC will develop additional flyers, one-pagers, tri-folds or other appropriate printed media to 1) discuss the appropriate application of BST in identifying fecal contamination sources and 2) promote the analytical laboratory capability of public BST labs that the State has invested in. TWRI will also include information about BST in its publications. TWRI, UTSPH EP and AgriLife SCSC will periodically meet with natural resource agencies to advance the general knowledge and understanding of agency staff on the use of BST in Texas.

Tasks, Object	tives and Schedules						
Task 1	Project Administration	Project Administration					
Costs	\$21,654						
Objective		coordinate, and monitor a pervision, and preparation	ll work performed under th of status reports.	is project including			
Subtask 1.1	shall document all activiti		orts (QPRs) for submission rter and shall be submitted o all Project Partners.				
	Start Date	Month 1	Completion Date	Month 24			
Subtask 1.2	TWRI will perform accourage Forms to TSSWCB at least		funds and will submit appr	ropriate Reimbursement			
	Start Date	Month 1	Completion Date	Month 24			
Subtask 1.3	discuss project activities,	project schedule, communi f action items needed follo	e calls, at least quarterly, w ication needs, deliverables, owing each project coordinate	and other requirements.			
	Start Date	Month 1	Completion Date	Month 24			
Subtask 1.4	TWRI, with assistance from partners will develop a Final Report that summarizes activities completed and conclusions reached during the project and discusses the extent to which project goals and measures of success have been achieved.						
	Start Date	Month 1	Completion Date	Month 24			
Deliverables	QPRs in electronic for		(-4'				
		onic and hard copy format	tation in hard copy format				

Tasks, Object	tives and Schedules			
Task 2	Quality Assurance			
Costs	\$12,993			
Objective	To develop data quality objectives (DQOs) and quality assurance/control (QA/QC) activities to ensure			
		able quality are generated t		
Subtask 2.1	TWRI will develop a QAPP for activities in Task 3-5 consistent with the most recent versions of <i>EPA</i>			
			QA/R-5) and the $TSSWCB$	
			es and methods prescribed	
			Surface Water Quality Mor	
			ods for Water, Sediment, an	
			ological Assemblage and H	
			Administrative Code, <i>Envi</i>	
			lescribes Texas' approach t	
		aboratory Accreditation C	onference (NELAC) standa	ards, shall be required
	where applicable.]			
Subtask 2.2	Start Date	Month 1	Completion Date	Month 4
Subtask 2.2			ent the approved QAPP. To amendments to the QAPP and the CAPP are the CAPP and the CAPP are the	
	Start Date	Month 4	Completion Date	Month 24
Subtask 2.3			odate the 7 statewide BST t	
Subtask 2.5			<i>coli</i> , archival of <i>E. coli</i> isola	
			and Bacteroidales PCR co	
	Guidance for Preparing Standard Operating Procedures (SOPs) (QA/G-6) and the TSSWCB Environmental Data Quality Management Plan so that they include the most recent advances in BST science, methodologies, markers and technologies.			
	Start Date	Month 1	Completion Date	Month 24
Deliverables	QAPP approved by T	TSSWCB in both electronic	c and hard copy formats	
		and amendments to QAPP,		
		cceptable quality as report		

Tasks, Objec	tives and Schedules			
Task 3	BST Analyses & QMRA			
Costs	\$108,271			
Objective	Support BST analyses for	the Leon River watershed		
Subtask 3.1	UTSPH EP and AgriLife	SCSC will maintain BST a	nalytical equipment (e.g., l	RiboPrinter) and general
	laboratory equipment to s	upport BST analyses. This	includes securing maintena	ance contracts,
	replacement parts and exp	endable supplies. AgriLife	SCSC will purchase and i	nstall laboratory
	refrigerator for running E	RIC gels.	<u> </u>	·
	Start Date	Month 1	Completion Date	Month 24
Subtask 3.2	UTSPH EP and AgriLife	SCSC will retain (or hire)	lab personnel, students and	or Postdoctoral
	Research Associates to maintain laboratory operating capacities and technical expertise to conduct BST			
	studies across the state.			
	Start Date	Month 1	Completion Date	Month 24
Subtask 3.3	UTSPH EP and AgriLife SCSC will perform targeted BST analysis to support WPP implementation			
	efforts in the Leon River watershed. BST analyses will be performed on monthly samples from 4 sites			
	(i.e. 12 months x 4 sites = 48 total samples; 400 <i>E. coli</i> isolates) in the Leon River watershed.			
	Start Date	Month 4	Completion Date	Month 24
Subtask 3.4	UTSPH EP and AgriLife SCSC will evaluate temporal changes in watershed sources by comparing BST			
	results from the current pr	roject with those from TSS	WCB Project 10-51.	
	Start Date	Month 4	Completion Date	Month 24

Subtask 3.5	UTSPH EP and AgriLife SCSC will integrate the BST results from the project into a quantitative microbial risk assessment to evaluate the human health significance of the project's data.			
	Start Date	Start Date Month 4 Completion Date Month 24		
Deliverables	 BST analyses for the Leon River watershed QMRA analysis integrating BST results 			
	Discussion of finding	gs included in final report		

Tasks, Objec	tives and Schedules			
Task 4	BST Sample Collection			
Costs	\$21,654			
Objective	support BST analyses in t source fecal samples and	To expand the Texas <i>E. coli</i> BST Library and its usage, evaluate temporal impacts on the Library, and support BST analyses in the Leon River watershed through the collection of approximately 50 known source fecal samples and 48 water samples.		
Subtask 4.1	TWRI will work with UTSPH EP and AgriLife SCSC to develop a targeted list of needed species for fecal sample collection and plan for their collection and delivery. This list should include sources collected as part of TSSWCB Project 10-51 and provide support for new analyses in the Leon River watershed.			
	Start Date	Month 2	Completion Date	Month 4
Subtask 4.2	NRI will collect 50 fecal samples from the watershed in accordance with the plan developed in Subtask 4.1 and work closely with UTSPH EP and AgriLife SCSC to coordinate delivery of the samples to the appropriate lab. NRI will communicate with a select group of organizations, agencies and businesses in the watershed to arrange and resolve any access concerns and gather input to improve geographic targeting of sample collection. Travel plans, scheduling and routing maps will be prepared prior to deploying the field crew. NRI will coordinate closely with TWRI, UTSPH EP and AgriLife SCSC to ensure sample delivery adheres to established QA/QC procedures. A known source sample data set will be finalized after completion of the field work and submitted to TSSWCB.			
	Start Date	Month 4	Completion Date	Month 24
Subtask 4.3	NRI will collect monthly grab samples from 4 selected monitoring sites in the Leon River watershed. Sites will be selected to correspond with those monitored during TSSWCB project 10-51. NRI/TWRI will coordinate delivery of samples to AgriLife SCSC for BST processing.			
	Start Date	Month 4	Completion Date	Month 16
Deliverables	 Proposed list of needed species recommended for fecal sample collection MS Excel summary data sheets cataloging known source samples collected Water samples collected and delivered to AgriLife SCSC 			

Tasks, Objec	tives and Schedules			
Task 5	BST Library Refinement	and Library Independent M	Marker Development	
Costs	\$238,197	\$238,197		
Objective	Evaluate and expand the statewide <i>E. coli</i> BST Library through the addition of 50 known source fecal samples collected through Task 4. Develop and refine library-independent markers.			
Subtask 5.1	UTSPH EP and AgriLife SCSC will isolate <i>E. coli</i> from the approximately 50 known source fecal samples collected through Task 4. Approximately three isolates from each fecal sample (for a total of approximately 150 isolates) will be analyzed using ERIC-PCR for inclusion in the Texas <i>E. coli</i> BST Library; based on the ERIC-PCR fingerprint patterns, approximately half of the isolates (75) will be further analyzed using RP for inclusion in the Texas <i>E. coli</i> BST Library. UTSPH EP and AgriLife SCSC will equitably split workload.			
	Start Date	Month 4	Completion Date	Month 24

Subtask 5.2	UTSPH EP and AgriLife SCSC will collaborate to evaluate the geographical and temporal stability, composition, average rates of correct classification (accuracy), diversity of source specific isolates, and			
	new known-source isolate	further development and refinement needs of the Texas <i>E. coli</i> BST library as the library is updated with new known-source isolates		
	Start Date			
Subtask 5.3	As funding allows, AgriLife SCSC and UTSPH EP will use the best available bacterial indicators to			
	evaluate and further develop/refine source-specific bacterial PCR markers using known source fecal material. AgriLife SCSC and UTSPH EP efforts will focus on evaluating additional library-independent			
	PCR markers (e.g., H8 human marker) for the Texas BST toolbox and continue evaluating the potential			
	for using dPCR for BST.			
	Start Date	Month 4	Completion Date	Month 24
Deliverables	Highlights of work performed included in QPRs and Final Report			

Tasks, Object	tives and Schedules				
Task 6	Education and Outreach				
Costs	\$30,316				
Objective	Provide continued educati	on and outreach regarding	BST and its application th	rough improving the	
			actices, scientific advances		
	application of BST and in	corporating information from	om other areas of the nation	n into the BST	
	approaches used in Texas.				
Subtask 6.1			u.edu website to dissemina	•	
		. •	it educational opportunities	and other outreach	
	efforts to advance the scie	nce and application of BS'			
	Start Date Month 1 Completion Date Month 24				
Subtask 6.2			e the use of and provide res		
		UTSPH EP and AgriLife SCSC will distribute educational brochures developed. As needed, TWRI,			
	UTSPH EP and AgriLife SCSC will develop additional flyers, one-pagers, tri-folds or other appropriate				
			opriate application of BST		
			laboratory capability of pu		
			lude information about BS		
			servation Matters e-mail n		
		¥ .	et with natural resource ag		
		laboratories, and other researchers/academia to advance the general knowledge and understanding of			
	BST and appropriate meth				
	Start Date	Month 1	Completion Date	Month 24	
Deliverables	 Summaries of outrea 	ch efforts included in QPR	s and Final Report		

Project Goals (Expand from Summary Page)

Support BST analyses across the State through: (1) continued personnel support and operation and maintenance of analytical infrastructure at public BST laboratories; (2) continued development, updating and implementation of statewide BST template-SOPs for ERIC-PCR, RiboPrinting and *Bacteroidales* PCR along with coordination amongst other entities conducting BST in the state to standardize methodologies employed; (3) continued delivery of information and materials that give an overview of BST activities in Texas to date and describe the use, capabilities and applicability of BST and the services provided by the State-supported analytical labs to local, state and national stakeholder audiences; (4) continued development of the Texas *E. coli* BST Library; (5) further development of suitable source-specific bacteria markers for library independent BST; (6) statistical characterization of the Texas *E. coli* BST Library; and (7) targeted BST.

Measures of Success (Expand from Summary Page)

- Updated BST template-SOPs for ERIC-PCR, RiboPrinting and *Bacteroidales* PCR ensuring that template-SOPs include current methods, technologies and approaches.
- Maintain needed level of training of AgriLife SCSC and UTSPH EP personnel.
- Continued operation and maintenance of BST analytical equipment and support of personnel needs to sustain operating capability and expand the use of BST applications statewide.
- Targeted BST supporting watershed planning and implementation efforts in the Leon River watershed.
- Expansion of the Texas *E. coli* BST Library through the analysis of approximately 50 known source fecal samples collected by TWRI.
- Development/evaluation of new source-specific bacterial markers for library-independent BST and evaluation of dPCR for quantitative detection of markers.
- Continued outreach through a BST state of the science website (http://texasbst.tamu.edu/) that serves as a repository for collected/produced BST information and source of BST related materials, updates, meeting announcements for educational opportunities.
- Continued outreach through delivery of BST informational materials describing the state of the science, applicability, usefulness and analytical capabilities of State-supported BST laboratories to water resource professionals across the state and nation.

2017 Texas NPS Management Program Reference (Expand from Summary Page)

Components, Goals, and Objectives

Component 1 – Explicit short- and long-term goals, objectives, and strategies that protect surface... water.

LTG 1 – Objective 1 – Focus ... available resources in watersheds and aquifers identified as impacted by NPS pollution.

 $LTG\ 1-Objective\ 2-Support\ the\ implementation\ of\ state,\ regional,\ and\ local\ programs\ to\ prevent\ NPS\ pollution\ through\ assessment...$

LTG 1 – Objective 3 – Support the implementation of state, regional, and local programs to reduce NPS pollution, such as the implementation of strategies defined in TMDL I-Plans, [and] WPPs...

LTG 1 – Objective 6 – Develop partnerships ... to facilitate collective, cooperative approaches to manage NPS pollution. Short-Term Goal One – Data Collection and Assessment – Objective C – Conduct special studies to determine sources of NPS pollution and gain information to target... BMP implementation.

Component 2 – Working partnerships and linkages to appropriate State, interstate, Tribal, regional, and local entities, private sector groups, and Federal agencies.

Component 3 – Balanced approach that emphasizes both statewide NPS programs and on-the-ground management of individual watersheds.

Component 5 – ... Progressively address these identified waters by conducting more detailed watershed assessments...

Part III – Financial Information

Budget Summary	
Category	Total
Personnel	\$ 141,456
Fringe Benefits	\$ 52,227
Travel	\$ 6,860
Equipment	\$ 0
Supplies	\$ 20,925
Contractual	\$ 141,928
Construction	\$ 0
Other	\$ 13,200
Total Direct Costs	\$ 376,596
Indirect Costs (≤ 15%)	\$ 56,489
Total Project Costs	\$ 433,085

Budget Justificat	ion	
Category	Total Amount	Justification
Personnel	\$ 141,456	 SCSC Co-PI: \$134,316 @ 1.5 months (\$17,553) TWRI Program Manager (TBD): \$59,064 @ 2 months (\$9,988) TWRI Research Asst.: \$41,275 @ 6 months (\$21,575) SCSC Technician: \$40,000 @ 24 months (\$83,636) NRI Program Coord.: \$49,972 @ 2 months (\$8,704) *named positions are budgeted with a 3% annual pay increase in all years; TBD positions are budgeted with a 3% pay increase in years after year 1 *(Salary estimates are based on average monthly percent effort for the entire contract. Actual percent effort may vary more or less than estimated between months; but in the aggregate, will not exceed total effort estimates for the entire project.)
Fringe Benefits	\$ 52,227	Fringe for faculty and staff is calculated at 18.2% salary plus \$746 per month. Fringe for hourly students is calculated at 10.7% salary plus \$412 per month. *Fringe benefits estimates are based on salary estimates listed. Actual fringe benefits will vary between months coinciding with percent effort variations; but in aggregate, will not exceed the overall estimated total.
Travel	\$ 6,860	SCSC Travel to state meetings & El Paso: \$1,000 SCSC Travel to national meetings: \$1,600 TWRI Travel to Temple to retrieve samples and for project meetings • 22 trips @ 160 miles * 0.50/mile = \$1,760 Travel for known source fecal and water sample collection: \$2,500
Equipment	\$ 0	N/A
Supplies	\$ 20,925	 SCSC ERIC-RP supplies (200 * \$58): \$11,600 SCSC Marker Eval/ Development Supplies: \$4,000 SCSC Misc. Project Supplies: \$1,000 SCSC Pre-processing water samples for ERIC-RP (48 * \$25 ea.): \$1,200 Fecal Isolations: 25 @ \$25 ea.= \$625 Fecal ERICs: 75 * \$8 ea.= \$600 Fecal RP: 38 @ \$50 ea. = \$1,900
Contractual*	\$ 141,928	Subaward: UTSPH EP
Construction	\$ 0	N/A
Other	\$ 13,200	 TWRI Communication Services: \$3,000 TWRI Riboprinter Service: \$7,500 SCSC Conference Registrations: \$700 SCSC General Maintenance on Equipment: \$600 Computer for BioNumerics: \$800 Sample Shipping Costs: \$600
Indirect	\$ 56,489	Texas A&M AgriLife Research's federally-negotiated indirect cost rate (IDC) is 51.5% of modified total direct costs (MTDC). Per the limitations of this RFP, indirect costs are limited at 15% total direct costs. \$376,596 TDC * 0.15

Contractual Budget Justification-UTSPH-El Paso			
Category	Total Amount	Justification	
Personnel	\$ 71,608	El Paso PI (Mena): \$153,475: 0.72 months (\$9,208)	
		El Paso Research Asst. (Monserrat): \$39,000: 19.2 months (\$62,400)	
Fringe	\$ 28,234	El Paso PI (Mena): 22% of personnel (\$2,026)	
Benefits		El Paso Research Asst. (Monserrat) 42% of personnel (\$26,208)	
Travel	\$ 1,000	Trip to College Station for research collaboration (will include hotel, lodging, rental car, rental car fuel, airfare and per diem)	
Equipment	\$ 0	N/A	
Supplies	\$ 20,575	Fecal sample E. coli isolations: 25 @ \$25 ea.=\$625	
		E. coli isolation from water samples (200 isolates @ \$8 ea.)=\$1,600	
		ERIC-RP supplies:	
		75 fecal isolates ERIC + 200 water isolates ERIC @ \$8 each= \$2,200	
		38 fecal isolates RP + 200 water isolates RP @ \$50 ea.= \$11,900	
		Library independent BST marker supplies= \$1,550	
		Library independent animal virus supplies = \$2,000	
		Sample Shipping Costs= \$700	
Contractual*	\$ 0	N/A	
Construction	\$ 0	N/A	
Other	\$ 2,000	Refrigerator and general maintenance (Biological Safety Cabinets, freezers and	
		refrigerators): \$2,000	
Indirect	\$ 18,512	15% Total Direct Costs	